

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/019,777	04/04/2002	Oistein Baekken	2001-1849A	9977
513 7	590 12/24/2003		EXAM	INER
	H, LIND & PONACK	SAYALA, CHHAYA D		
2033 K STREET N. W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1761	

DATE MAILED: 12/24/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<del></del>	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Application No.					
Office Action Summany	10/019,777	BAEKKEN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
TI MANUAL DA STE CHIL	C. SAYALA	1761				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sneet with the (	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state.  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow						
Disposition of Claims	1 Ex parto Gadyro, 1000 0.5. 11, 4					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	I/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
<ul> <li>12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei</li> <li>a) △ All b) ○ Some * c) ○ None of:</li> <li>1. ○ Certified copies of the priority docume</li> <li>2. ○ Certified copies of the priority docume</li> <li>3. △ Copies of the certified copies of the priority</li> </ul>	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applicat	ion No				
application from the International Bure  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). st of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
since a specific reference was included in the f 37 CFR 1.78. a)  The translation of the foreign language p	first sentence of the specification of	r in an Application Data Sheet.				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes reference was included in the first sentence of	stic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	and/or 121 since a specific				
Attachment(s)						
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		(PTO-413) Paper No(s)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1761

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, line 3, "such as" is indefinite because it does not define what else applicant intends to be included by such language. The same may be said of "etc." at line 4.

In claims 1-3 and 5-9, "characterized in, that" should be changed to -- wherein--.

In claim 4, "Means" should be changed to - - A process- - .

In claim 5, - - A- - should be inserted at the beginning of the claim and - - The- - at the beginning of claims 6-9.

In claim 8, line 3, "the" should be deleted.

In claim 9, line 3, "preferably" renders the claim indefinite because it is not clear if applicant intends to claim only the preferred embodiment as his invention.

Art Unit: 1761

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 1761

3. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over "Rubin Feed", 1997, disclosed in the specification at page 3 in view of JP 63309166 and Cox (US Patent 4935250).

The publication "Rubin Feed" is related to a gelled feed which contains fish offals, fish oil, alginate, 10% carbohydrates, vitamins, minerals, coloring agent and calcium carbonate. The ingredients are palletized and transported through a gelling bath containing formic acid. It does not disclose the alkali treatment. The JP patent teaches that when raw materials, such as fish or shrimp are treated with alkali, it denatures the enzyme that inhibits gel formation, and then the raw materials neutralized. It would have been obvious to incorporate such a step in the primary reference method, to remove the enzyme obstructing gel formation. The Cox patent teaches that calcium chloride is used to set the gel made from alginate to form feed pellets. The Cox patent also establishes that the art of making fish feed pellets was old and known in the art and to make modifications such as pellet size, gel strength, etc. would have been within the ambit of ordinary skill.

#### **Prior Art**

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to C. SAYALA at Group 1761, telephone number (703) 308-3035.

Art Unit: 1761

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3599.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

C. SAYALA

Primary Examiner

Group 1700.